

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 ANKARA 00320 141235Z

10

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 /026 W

----- 091861

O R 141128Z JAN 76

FM AMEMBASSY ANKARA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1993

INFO SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE/ZFF-2

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY NICOSIA

AMCONSUL ADANA

AMCONSUL ISTANBUL

AMCONSUL IZMIR

USMISSION NATO

DIRNSA WASHDC

USNMR SHAPE

CINCEUR

USDOCOSOUTH NAPLES

CINCUSAFE

CINCUSAREUR

CINCUSNAVEUR LONDON

C O N F I D E N T I A L ANKARA 0320

EXDIS

MILITARY ADEES HANDLE AS SPECAT EXCLUSIVE

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR PFOR TU

SUBJECT: TURKISH BASE NEGOTIATIONS: NATO/SOFA EXTENSION

REF: (A) ANKARA 0147 DTG 081256Z JAN 76 (B) STATE 005966

DTG 100010Z JAN 76

1. IN JANUARY 13 WORKING GROUP MEETING TURKISH TEAM  
CHIEF ASULA BROUGHT UP THE SUBJECT OF NATO/SOFA EXTENSION  
SUPPLEMENTARY ARRANGEMENTY U.S. ARTICLE VII(2). HE  
EXPLAINED THAT ALTHOUGH GOT COULD LIVE WITH A TEXTUAL  
REFERENCE TO SUPPLEMENTARY ARRANGEMENTS, THE LAST  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 ANKARA 00320 141235Z

PHRASE OF THE EKRAGRAPH TYING SUCH ARRANGEMENTS INTO THE

PRACTICE OF OTHER ALLIES WAS POLITICALLY UNACCEPTABLE. HOWEVER, HE SAID THE U.S. CAN CONSIDER SUCH PRACTICES IN DETERMINATION OF ITS REQUIREMENTS AND THE GOT WILL CONSIDER THE REQUIREMENTS SUBMITTED BY THE U.S. WHILE POINTING OUT THAT HE WAS NOT IN A POSITION AT THIS MEETING TO MAKE A FORMAL OFFER OF ALTERNATE LANGUAGE, HE SUGGESTED THE FOLLOWING FORMULATION OF PARAGRAPH TWO FOR THE U.S. SIDE TO THINK ABOUT.

BEGIN TEXT: THE TWO GOVERNMENTS AGREE TO MAKE SUPPLEMENTARY ARRANGEMENTS WHICH WOULD IMPLEMENT THIS AGREEMENT AND THE AFOREMENTIONED AGREEMENT OF JUNE 19, 1951. SUCH ARRANGEMENTS SHALL TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE PURPOSES AND PRINCIPLES OF THIS AGREEMENT. END TEXT.

2. IN DISCUSSION OF THIS LANGUAGE, U.S. TEAM EMPHASIZED AGAIN THAT THE UNILATERAL ISSUANCE OF CIRCULARS BY GOT (AS CONTEMPLATED IN TURKISH JANUARY 6 DRAFT, REF A) WOULD NOT SATISFY U.S. REQUIREMENTS FOR SUPPLEMENTARY ARRANGEMENTS. ASULA, WHILE SEEMINGLY ACKNOWLEDGING THIS POXHASON, SAID THAT HE LIKED U.S. PHRAS "SUPPLEMENTARY ARRANGEMENTS" AS "ARRANGEMENTS ARE NOT AGREEMENTS\*" (ASULA REPEATED GOT OPPOSITION TO REFERENCES IN IHIS AGREEMENT TO SUPPLEMENTARY AGREEMENTS WHICH WOULD BE CONCLUDED SUBSEQUENT TO GOT APPROVAL OF THE BASIC DOCUMENT.) U.S. TEAM CHAIRMAN STRESSED BOTH IN THE MEETING AND IN A SUBSEQUENT PRIVATE ASIDE WITH ASULA THAT HIS INTERPRETATION OF OUR LANGUAGE WAS NOT CORRECT AND THAT, WHEN THE U.S. USED "SUPPLEMENTARY ARRANGEMENTS," IT MEANT BILATERAL AGREEMENTS.

3. WHEN PRESSED DURING THE EXCHANGE, U.S. TEAM SAID THAT OMISSION OF EXPLICIT REFERENCE TO SUPPLEMENTARY "AGREEMENTS" IN TEXT OR TO ARRANGEMENTS WITH OTHER NATO GOVERNMENTS MIGHT BE POSSIBLE IF ACTUAL BILATERAL AGREEMENTS WERE CONCLUDED PRIOR TO THE SIGNING OF THIS AGREEMENT. ASULA SEEMED INTRIGUED BY THIS POSSIBILITY BUT NOTED THAT EXTENSIVE TIME MIGHT BE REQUIRED TO CONCLUDE AGREEMENTS. U.S. TEAM STATED THAT U.S. SOFA REQUIREMENTS WERE CLEAR CUT AND COULD BE GRANTED WITHOUT DELAY.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 ANKARA 00320 141235Z

4. COMMENT: WHILE ASULA'S SUGGESTED LANGUAGE SEEMED TO BE AN HONEST EFFORT ON HIS PART TO SOLVE THIS ISSUE, WE BELIEVE THAT ANY FURTHER RESPONSE WHOULD AWAIT DISCUSSION BY PRINCIPALS IN ACCORDANCE REF B. IN MEANTIME, WASHINGTON COMMENTS REQUESTED REGARDING POSSIBILITY MENTIONED PARA 3 ABOVE. UNLESS WE ARE WILLING TO UNDERTAKE TASK OF REACHING AGREEMENT ON SPECIFIC SUPPLEMENTS RELATED TO

THIS ARTICLE PRIOR TO CONCLUSION OF AGREEMENT, WE PREFER  
NOT RPT NOT TO GIVE ASULA FURTHER OPPORTUNITY KOR  
TACTICAL PROBES ON THIS ISSUE AT THIS TIME.  
MACOMBER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MILITARY BASES, NEGOTIATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 14 JAN 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** vogelfj  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976ANKARA00320  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760013-0821  
**From:** ANKARA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760160/aaaacaxf.tel  
**Line Count:** 119  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION SS  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** 76 ANKARA 147, 76 STATE 5966  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** vogelfj  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 13 JAN 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <13 JAN 2004 by morefirh>; APPROVED <23 AUG 2004 by vogelfj>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** URKISH BASE NEGOTIATIONS: NATO/SOFA EXTENSION  
**TAGS:** MARR, PFOR, MASS, TU, US  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006